


Figure 3

ARKANSAS RIVER AT DERBY TOTAL AMMONIA CONCENTRATION



ARKANSAS RIVER AT DERBY FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA CONCENTRATION (RUNNING FIVE-SAMPLE GEOMETRIC MEAN)






Figure 4

BIG CREEK NEAR MUNJOR TOTAL AMMONIA CONCENTRATION



BIG CREEK NEAR MUNJOR FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA CONCENTRATION (RUNNING FIVE-SAMPLE GEOMETRIC MEAN)



on eliminating major, visible cases of pollution, and pollution was measured in parts per million. In 1987 the Act was revised with emphasis on control of toxins, nonpoint sources, and conversion of the municipal grants program to loans.

Issues which being discussed in the reauthorization process include: **Nonpoint Pollution.** How does the nation want to further address this issue? Should controls or improvements be voluntary or mandatory? Should more funds be directed at the issue?

State Funding. States, which implement most of the act's pro-

visions, have reduced funding for water programs leaving some requirements unmet.

Antidegradation. This provision of the Act is often debated and implemented in varying fashions. National policy clarification is anticipated.