

# Source Water Assessment Report



**Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**

**Assessment Areas Include:  
184, 185, 186**



Kansas Department of Health and Environment  
Bureau of Water Watershed Management Section  
1000 SW Jackson St., Suite 420  
Topeka, KS 66612-1367



Burns & McDonnell  
9400 Ward Parkway  
Kansas City, MO 64114



Kansas Geological Survey University of Kansas  
1930 Constant Ave.  
Lawrence, KS 66047

Reports were generated with the Automated Source Water Assessment Tool (ASWAT). Assessments were completed online using ASWAT by hundreds of state employees, public water supply staff, and technical assistant providers throughout the State of Kansas.

# Table Of Contents

<a href="#"><u>Report Description</u></a>	
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Area 184</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.0</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Executive Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.1</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.2</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.3</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.4</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Listing</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.5</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Protection Measures</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.6</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Analysis</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.7</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.8</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.9</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Analysis Question Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>1.10</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Area 185</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.0</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Executive Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.1</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.2</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.3</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.4</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Listing</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.5</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Protection Measures</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.6</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Analysis</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.7</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.8</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.9</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Analysis Question Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>2.10</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Area 186</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.0</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Executive Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.1</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.2</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Sources</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.3</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Summary</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.4</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Potential Contaminants Listing</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.5</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Protection Measures</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.6</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Assessment Analysis</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.7</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.8</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Added Site Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.9</u></a>
<a href="#"><u>Analysis Question Comments</u></a>	<a href="#"><u>3.10</u></a>

# Report Description

## Detailed Explanation of Entire Report:

The 1996 amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act require each state to develop a Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) and a Source Water Assessment (SWA) for each Public Water Supply (PWS) that treats and distributes raw source water. In Kansas there are 761 public water supplies that require SWAs. A SWA includes a delineation of the source water assessment area, an inventory of potential contaminant sources, and a susceptibility analysis.

A PWS can consist of one or more individual assessment areas that require different assessments. In general, an assessment area is delineated at a two-mile fixed radius for a groundwater well. A surface water intake assessment area is the upstream-drainage area (watershed), inside the state border. Additionally, an assessment area can consist of an individual well, group of wells, an individual surface water intake, or multiple surface water intakes.

After each assessment is completed a report is automatically generated using an Internet-based application called the Automated Source Water Assessment Tool (ASWAT). The individual assessment reports combine to form the entire SWA report for a PWS.

A map of each Assessment Area was also generated with ASWAT. However, for security reasons the maps are not included in this report. To obtain a copy of the map(s), please contact your local PWS.

All PWS reports will be available for viewing and downloading on KDHE's Watershed Management Section website(<http://www.kdhe.state.ks.us/nps>) in 2004.

## ST FRANCIS, CITY OF Summary:

AA	Type	Diversion Id
184	Ground water multiple wells	001, 005
185	Ground water multiple wells	009, 010, 011
186	Ground water multiple wells	008, 007, 006

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Executive Summary:**

The Executive Summary gives the assessment area's Susceptibility Likelihood Score (SLS) for each contaminant of concern category.

SLS indicates which contaminant category is most likely to impact a given public water supply. Contaminants of concern for groundwater include microbiological, inorganic compounds, nitrates, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds. Contaminants of concern for surface water include microbiological, inorganic compounds, eutrophication – phosphorus, sedimentation, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds.

To determine the assessment area's susceptibility to contamination, a qualitative (semi-quantitative) screening level susceptibility analysis was designed that utilizes general assumptions and best professional judgement. It is a systematic procedure comprised of simple yes/no questions. Each question in the susceptibility analysis focuses on the presence or absence of potential pollution sources in the assessment area. SLS is most useful in helping the Public Water Supply (PWS) focus on water quality protection actions towards a contaminant category of concern. For example, if the SLS for microbiological contamination is high, relative to volatile organic compounds (VOC), water supply protection planners would conclude that the attention should be directed towards microbiological contaminant sources rather than VOC sources.

# Executive Summary

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**

## Susceptibility Likelihood Scores for Assessment Area

Contaminant Category	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
Susceptibility Likelihood Score – SLS	<b>57</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>67</b>
SLS Range	Mid	Mid	Mid	Mid	Mid	Mid

A – Microbiological

B\* – Nitrates

C\* – Pesticides

B – Inorganic Compounds

C – Synthetic Organic Compounds

D – Volatile Organic Compounds

## Susceptibility Likelihood Range

SLS Range	
<b>0–50</b>	<b>Low Susceptibility</b>
<b>51–80</b>	<b>Moderate Susceptibility</b>
<b>81–100</b>	<b>High Susceptibility</b>

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Potential Sources:**

The Potential Sources section lists all the sites that have been identified as potential sources of contamination.

Potential sources of contamination may include land uses, industry, or businesses that could generate or store chemicals/substances that could potentially contaminate the water supply only if released into the environment. Both unregulated sites from business location databases and regulated sites from various KDHE databases were compiled. Additional sites could have been added by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The 1987 Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) were used to identify potential contaminate sites. The SIC system classifies establishments into industries on the basis of the primary activities of the establishment.

Each assessment area is delineated with 3 assessment zones. These zones can be used to get a general understanding of the potential influence sites have based on proximity to the water supply. Zone A is a 100-foot radius around a groundwater well and a 1000-foot radius around a surface water intake. Zone B is a 2000-foot radius around wells and a hydrological delineated buffer around the surface water sources. Zone C is a 2-mile radius around wells and the balance of the watershed for intakes. The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show all the potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business is identified in the study as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

The data for the potential sources of contamination was compiled from May through August in 2002. Some of the databases used were incomplete datasets that are continually being updated. Due to the incompleteness, inaccuracies, and new development, it is possible that sources of potential contamination that are in the assessment area are not included in the report. Inaccurate locations could also cause sources to show up in the assessment area that are not actually in the assessment. Additionally, duplication between the datasets could cause sites to show up multiple times in the assessment area.

# Potential Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**

## Unregulated Potential Site Sources

Source No.	SIC Description	SIC ID	Zone
212881	Single-family Housing Construction	1521	B
212883	Nonresidential Construction	1542	B
212884	Nonresidential Construction	1542	B
212888	Newspapers Publishing and Printing	2711	B
212889	Commercial Printing-Lithographic	2752	B
213066	Farm and Garden Machinery	5083	B
212909	Gasoline Service Station	5541	B
212950	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	7532	B
212951	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	7532	B
212953	Auto Truck Repair Service	7538	B
212955	Auto Truck Repair Service	7538	B
213009	Cattle Farm	211	C
213022	Cattle Farm	211	C
213024	Cattle Farm	211	C
212878	Veterinary Services, Specialties	742	C
212879	Veterinary Services, Specialties	742	C

## Unregulated Potential Site Sources

Source No.	SIC Description	SIC ID	Zone
212880	Oil and Gas Field services	1389	C
212882	Single-family Housing Construction	1521	C
212890	Machinery, Except Electrical Manufacturing	3599	C
212872	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C
212875	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C
212891	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C
212892	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C
213011	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C
212895	Farm and Garden Machinery	5083	C
213004	Farm and Garden Machinery	5083	C
213060	Farm and Garden Machinery	5083	C
212910	Gasoline Service Station	5541	C
212911	Gasoline Service Station	5541	C
212949	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	7532	C
213064	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	7532	C
212952	Auto Truck Repair Service	7538	C
212954	Auto Truck Repair Service	7538	C
212958	Repair Services, Nec	7699	C
212959	Repair Services, Nec	7699	C

## Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	ID/Permit No.	Zone
2002443	Schultz, Larry	A-URCN-BA10	C

## Regulated Hazardous Waste Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	ID/Permit No.	Zone
3000337	St Francis Merc Equity Exchange	04115	B
3001131	Gas 4 Less	17391	B
3001250	Wilson Service	22953	B
3002509	St Francis, Equity Coop	80240	B
3002510	Super Foods	80241	B
3000201	St Francis Tire	02318	C
3000469	Usd 297, Bus Barn	05870	C
3000827	Coastal Mart #2530	08645	C
3000877	Farmers Equipment	08985	C
3001631	Grace Flying Service	26208	C
3002508	Knorr Repair	80239	C

## Regulated Identified Contaminated Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Solid Waste Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources
---

## Regulated Waste Water Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	ID/Permit No.	Zone
6000935	ST. FRANCIS SAND AND READY MIX	I-UR18-NO01	B
6001899	ST. FRANCIS MWTP	M-UR18-OO01	C

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Added Sources:**

The Added Sources section lists all the sites that have been added as potential sources of contamination by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show the added potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business was added as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

# Added Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**

## Added Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	SIC ID	Zone
Did Not Add Any Site Sources			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Potential Contaminants Summary:**

The Contaminants Summary shows the number of identified unregulated sources in the assessment area for each contaminant of concern category.

In order to obtain the number of sources for each category, a relationship was correlated between each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories. Each SIC was assessed and associated with contaminant categories. For example, if not managed properly, a car wash (SIC 7542) could potentially contaminate an intake because of inorganic compounds (IOC) and volatile organic compounds (VOC); thus, a car wash is associated with IOCs and VOCs.

A chart displays a count for each contaminant category. The sum for each category represents the total number of identified sources that have been associated with that particular contaminant category. However, the total number of identified sources does not include contaminants from the Added Sources. In our example, a car wash would be considered 2 sources of contamination. It would be a potential source of contamination for IOCs and for VOCs; thus, 1 would be added to the total number of sources in the VOC category and 1 would be added to the IOC category.

# Potential Contaminants Summary

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 184

## Number of Unregulated Site Sources Identified for each Contaminant Category

MicroBiological	Pesticides	IOC's	SOC's	VOC's	Nitrates
7	3	31	5	19	5

A – Microbiological

B\* – Nitrates

C\* – Pesticides

B – Inorganic Compounds

C – Synthetic Organic Compounds

D – Volatile Organic Compounds

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## Potential Contaminants Listing:

The Potential Contaminants section lists the contaminant of concern category associated with each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) found in an assessment area. A complete list of contaminant category codes are located at the bottom of this page.

The relationships defined between the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories are displayed in a table format. Using our car wash example, the relationships can be better illustrated. A car wash could release IOC and VOC chemical substances. The connection is shown by indicating the SIC, 7542, and the associated contaminant categories, IOC (Category B) and VOC (Category D). However, the contaminants listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

The list is sorted by the SIC source description and it only shows unique SIC sources. For example, an assessment area can have 20 car washes in an assessment area, but the list is only going to show contaminant categories associated with car washes onetime. This is because all car washes have the same SIC and every car wash poses the same potential threat to water intakes.

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds                      **B1** – Eutrophication – Phosphorous  
**B2** – Sedimentation    **B\*** – Nitrates    **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides            **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

# Potential Contaminants Listing

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 184

## Unregulated Identified Site Sources and associated Potential Contaminant Category

SIC ID	SIC Source	Potential Contaminant	Contaminant Category
7538	Auto Truck Repair Service	Inorganics, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D
211	Cattle Farm	Sanitary, Fertilizers TSS, pesticides, Erosion and sedimentation	A
"	"	"	B
"	"	"	B1
"	"	"	B2
"	"	"	B*
"	"	"	C*
5541	Gasoline Service Station	Inorganics, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D
3599	Machinery, Except Electrical Manufacturing	inorganics, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D
1542	Nonresidential Construction	Sedimentation	B2
1389	Oil and Gas Field services	Oil, Salt Water	B
"	"	"	C
1521	Single-family Housing Construction	Oil, Paint, Pesticides, Fertilizers	A
"	"	"	B1

## Unregulated Identified Site Sources and associated Potential Contaminant Category.

SIC ID	SIC Source	Potential Contaminant	Contaminant Category
1521	Single-family Housing Construction	Oil, Paint, Pesticides, Fertilizers	B2
"	"	"	B*
"	"	"	C
7532	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	Inorganics, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D
742	Veterinary Services, Specialties	Sanitary, Inorganics TSS	A
"	"	"	B
2752	Commercial Printing-Lithographic	Inorganics, VOCs, Semi volatiles	B
"	"	"	C
"	"	"	D
4221	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	TSS, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D
5083	Farm and Garden Machinery	inorganics	B
2711	Newspapers Publishing and Printing	Inorganics, VOCs, Semi volatiles	B
"	"	"	C
"	"	"	D
7699	Repair Services, Nec	inorganics	B

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Protection Measures:**

The Protection Measures section shows water quality protection measures for the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) identified in the assessment area.

Previous sections of this report are designed to show areas that Public Water Supplies (PWS) can focus on to improve the susceptibility of an assessment area. This section helps identify water quality protection measures that a PWS can use as guidance for implementing action for a potential contaminant site in the assessment area. It focuses on protection measures that can reduce the risk of contamination to the water supply.

This portion of the report only displays water quality protection measures for each type of SIC found in the assessment area. It does not display protection measures for each site in the assessment area because every SIC should have the same or similar water quality protection management practices. However, the protection measures listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

# Protection Measures

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
 Assessment Area: 184

## Recommended Water Quality Protection Measures

SIC	SIC Source	Contaminant Source	Water Quality Protection Measure	Regulatory Authority
7538	Auto Truck Repair Service	Inorganics, VOCs	Discharge to POTW. Manage oil products and used oil so that it is not in contact with water	40 CFR 442 and
211	Cattle Farm	Sanitary, Fertilizers TSS, pesticides, Erosion and sedimentation	Proper application of fertilizers and pesticides. Proper cleaning of equipment and disposal of chemicals. Maintain riparian areas along waterways and keep cattle out of these areas. Proper Waste Management and Grazing Management.	KDHE– Livestock Waste Management Section, KAR 28–16, KDA, County Soil Conservation District, NRCS
5541	Gasoline Service Station	Inorganics, VOCs	Maintain area to minimize fuel contamination	NA
3599	Machinery, Except Electrical Manufacturing	inorganics, VOCs	Manage wastes properly and treat process wastewater prior to discharge to a POTW or direct	State or federal Storm water pollution prevention regulations
1542	Nonresidential Construction	Sedimentation	Erosion and Sediment Control	KAR 28–16, KDHE
1389	Oil and Gas Field services	Oil, Salt Water	Proper management of production wastes	KAR 28–41, 45, 40 CFR 435

## Recommended Water Quality Protection Measures

SIC	SIC Source	Contaminant Source	Water Quality Protection Measure	Regulatory Authority
1521	Single-family Housing Construction	Oil, Paint, Pesticides, Fertilizers	Proper cleaning and disposal of household hazardous waste. Proper storage, application, and clean up of pesticides and fertilizers	KAR 28-48, KDHE, KDEM
7532	Top, Body, and Upholstery Repair Shops and Paint Shops	Inorganics, VOCs	Discharge to POTW. Recycle where appropriate. Properly maintain oil product and waste. Manage paint and solvent wastes properly	NA
742	Veterinary Services, Specialties	Sanitary, Inorganics TSS	Discharge to POT	NA
2752	Commercial Printing-Lithographic	Inorganics, VOCs, Semi volatiles	Recycle chemicals where possible. Discharge to POTW	40 CFR 459 and State or federal Storm water pollution prevention regulations
4221	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	TSS, VOCs	Keep the area clean of grain. Use grease traps.	State or federal Storm water pollution prevention regulations
5083	Farm and Garden Machinery	inorganics	Discharge to POTW	NA

## Recommended Water Quality Protection Measures

SIC	SIC Source	Contaminant Source	Water Quality Protection Measure	Regulatory Authority
2711	Newspapers Publishing and Printing	Inorganics, VOCs, Semi volatiles	Recycle chemicals where possible. Discharge to POTW	40 CFR 459 and State or federal Storm water pollution prevention regulations
7699	Repair Services, Nec	inorganics	Discharge to POTW	NA

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Assessment Analysis:**

The Assessment Analysis section displays the numbers assigned to each contaminant of concern category for each question in the susceptibility analysis.

This analysis is based on a decision tree framework consisting of a series of yes/no questions. These questions consider the proximity of contaminant sources to the water supply intake, the type of contaminant, and the application of pollution prevention or water quality protection practices to sources of contamination. As the evaluator moves through the analytical framework, susceptibility points are accumulated based on the presence of contaminant sources in the assessment area.

After all the questions have been answered, the SLS is calculated for each contaminant of concern category. The SLS is determined by counting the number of contamination risk factors found to occur in the delineated assessment area and applying a multiplier to this number. Because the number of contaminant category risk factors is not equal, the multiplier is used to establish a common scale for the SLS of each contaminant category.

# Assessment Analysis

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **184**

## Ground Water Multiple Wells Analysis

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds  
**B\*** – Nitrates            **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides        **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
1	Is any well under the influence of surface water?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Do all PWS wells meet KS PWS water well construction standards?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Is any well less than 30 feet deep?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	Is gravel pack within 20 feet of any well surface?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	Does a PWS own or control all the areas around the wells?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	Does Zone B consist entirely of native grass?	No	2	2	2	2	2	2
7	Is there a contaminated well in Zone B?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	Is a class V UIC well present?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban areas present in Zone B?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
10	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1
11	Are any non-farm home sites present in Zone B?	Yes	1	0	1	0	1	0
12	Do all the non-farm home sites have a water quality protection plan?	No	1	0	1	0	1	0
13	Are any farmsteads present in Zone B?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	Do all farmsteads have a water quality protection plan?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	Is there grazing livestock in Zone B?	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0
16	Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	No	1	0	1	0	0	0
17	Is there livestock confinement in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
18	Is each confined animal feeding operation registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	Is there corn or grain sorghum production in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	Are corn/grain sorghum nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Are any orchards present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Are orchard nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Are there unsewered developments (concentrations of lagoons or septic systems) present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Is there a railroad or major highway in Zone B or C?	Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1
25	Is there oil production in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Do coarse textured soils predominate Zones A, B and C?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
27	Is an irrigation well located in Zone B or C?	Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1
28	Is a wastewater treatment facility in Zone B or C?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	Is a solid waste landfill in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Are there unplugged, abandoned water wells present in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban area present in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Is there livestock confinement in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Is each confined livestock facility registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Do all the livestock producers have water quality protection measures in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	No	0	0	1	0	0	0
37	Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	No	0	0	0	0	1	0
38	Does a perennial stream flow into Zone C?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Are watershed water quality protection plans in place?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Site Comments:**

The Site Comments section lists all the comments that were added for the potential sources of contamination found in the assessment area.

Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding detail to the sites that can be referenced for more information.

This local information may include comments on potential contamination threats (or lack there of), local water quality protection initiatives, etc. Adding comments are optional and are mainly focused on sources in areas that could have the greatest impact on water supply if a spill or release occurred in the environment. It is left to the discretion of the PWS and/or source water assessment committee to add comments.

# Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**

## Comments for Unregulated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Hazardous Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Identified Contaminated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Solid Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Waste Water Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

### **Added Site Comments:**

The Added Site Comments section lists the comments for why sites were added as a potential source of contamination found to the assessment area.

# Added Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**

## Comments for Added Contaminant Sites

Added Contaminant Site Name	Site No.	Site Comments	Author
Did Not Receive Any Comments			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **184**  
Diversion Id's: **001, 005**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:00:19**

## **Analysis Question Comments:**

The Analysis Question Comments section lists all the comments that were added during analysis portion of the assessment, in which a series of yes/no questions were asked.

Evaluators have the option to add comments to questions to clarify why a response was given or to give more details to a question. Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding clarification and details that could not be identified with a simple yes or no response.

# Analysis Question Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **184**

## Comments for Analysis Questions

Analysis Question	Question Comments	Author
Is gravel pack within 20 feet of any well surface?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Do all the non-farm home sites have a water quality protection plan?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Do all farmsteads have a water quality protection plan?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are watershed water quality protection plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Executive Summary:**

The Executive Summary gives the assessment area's Susceptibility Likelihood Score (SLS) for each contaminant of concern category.

SLS indicates which contaminant category is most likely to impact a given public water supply. Contaminants of concern for groundwater include microbiological, inorganic compounds, nitrates, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds. Contaminants of concern for surface water include microbiological, inorganic compounds, eutrophication – phosphorus, sedimentation, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds.

To determine the assessment area's susceptibility to contamination, a qualitative (semi-quantitative) screening level susceptibility analysis was designed that utilizes general assumptions and best professional judgement. It is a systematic procedure comprised of simple yes/no questions. Each question in the susceptibility analysis focuses on the presence or absence of potential pollution sources in the assessment area. SLS is most useful in helping the Public Water Supply (PWS) focus on water quality protection actions towards a contaminant category of concern. For example, if the SLS for microbiological contamination is high, relative to volatile organic compounds (VOC), water supply protection planners would conclude that the attention should be directed towards microbiological contaminant sources rather than VOC sources.

# Executive Summary

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **185**

## Susceptibility Likelihood Scores for Assessment Area

Contaminant Category	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
Susceptibility Likelihood Score – SLS	<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>
SLS Range	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

**A** – Microbiological

**B\*** – Nitrates

**C\*** – Pesticides

**B** – Inorganic Compounds

**C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds

**D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

## Susceptibility Likelihood Range

SLS Range	
<b>0–50</b>	<b>Low Susceptibility</b>
<b>51–80</b>	<b>Moderate Susceptibility</b>
<b>81–100</b>	<b>High Susceptibility</b>

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Potential Sources:**

The Potential Sources section lists all the sites that have been identified as potential sources of contamination.

Potential sources of contamination may include land uses, industry, or businesses that could generate or store chemicals/substances that could potentially contaminate the water supply only if released into the environment. Both unregulated sites from business location databases and regulated sites from various KDHE databases were compiled. Additional sites could have been added by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The 1987 Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) were used to identify potential contaminate sites. The SIC system classifies establishments into industries on the basis of the primary activities of the establishment.

Each assessment area is delineated with 3 assessment zones. These zones can be used to get a general understanding of the potential influence sites have based on proximity to the water supply. Zone A is a 100-foot radius around a groundwater well and a 1000-foot radius around a surface water intake. Zone B is a 2000-foot radius around wells and a hydrological delineated buffer around the surface water sources. Zone C is a 2-mile radius around wells and the balance of the watershed for intakes. The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show all the potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business is identified in the study as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

The data for the potential sources of contamination was compiled from May through August in 2002. Some of the databases used were incomplete datasets that are continually being updated. Due to the incompleteness, inaccuracies, and new development, it is possible that sources of potential contamination that are in the assessment area are not included in the report. Inaccurate locations could also cause sources to show up in the assessment area that are not actually in the assessment. Additionally, duplication between the datasets could cause sites to show up multiple times in the assessment area.

# Potential Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**

## Unregulated Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Hazardous Waste Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Identified Contaminated Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## **Regulated Solid Waste Potential Site Sources**

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## **Regulated Waste Water Potential Site Sources**

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Added Sources:**

The Added Sources section lists all the sites that have been added as potential sources of contamination by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show the added potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business was added as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

# Added Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**

## Added Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	SIC ID	Zone
Did Not Add Any Site Sources			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Potential Contaminants Summary:**

The Contaminants Summary shows the number of identified unregulated sources in the assessment area for each contaminant of concern category.

In order to obtain the number of sources for each category, a relationship was correlated between each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories. Each SIC was assessed and associated with contaminant categories. For example, if not managed properly, a car wash (SIC 7542) could potentially contaminate an intake because of inorganic compounds (IOC) and volatile organic compounds (VOC); thus, a car wash is associated with IOCs and VOCs.

A chart displays a count for each contaminant category. The sum for each category represents the total number of identified sources that have been associated with that particular contaminant category. However, the total number of identified sources does not include contaminants from the Added Sources. In our example, a car wash would be considered 2 sources of contamination. It would be a potential source of contamination for IOCs and for VOCs; thus, 1 would be added to the total number of sources in the VOC category and 1 would be added to the IOC category.

# Potential Contaminants Summary

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 185

## Number of Unregulated Site Sources Identified for each Contaminant Category

MicroBiological	Pesticides	IOC's	SOC's	VOC's	Nitrates
0	0	0	0	0	0

A – Microbiological

B\* – Nitrates

C\* – Pesticides

B – Inorganic Compounds

C – Synthetic Organic Compounds

D – Volatile Organic Compounds

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## Potential Contaminants Listing:

The Potential Contaminants section lists the contaminant of concern category associated with each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) found in an assessment area. A complete list of contaminant category codes are located at the bottom of this page.

The relationships defined between the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories are displayed in a table format. Using our car wash example, the relationships can be better illustrated. A car wash could release IOC and VOC chemical substances. The connection is shown by indicating the SIC, 7542, and the associated contaminant categories, IOC (Category B) and VOC (Category D). However, the contaminants listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

The list is sorted by the SIC source description and it only shows unique SIC sources. For example, an assessment area can have 20 car washes in an assessment area, but the list is only going to show contaminant categories associated with car washes onetime. This is because all car washes have the same SIC and every car wash poses the same potential threat to water intakes.

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds                      **B1** – Eutrophication – Phosphorous  
**B2** – Sedimentation    **B\*** – Nitrates    **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides            **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

# Potential Contaminants Listing

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 185

## Unregulated Identified Site Sources and associated Potential Contaminant Category

SIC ID	SIC Source	Potential Contaminant	Contaminant Category
Did Not Contain Any Potential Contaminants			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Protection Measures:**

The Protection Measures section shows water quality protection measures for the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) identified in the assessment area.

Previous sections of this report are designed to show areas that Public Water Supplies (PWS) can focus on to improve the susceptibility of an assessment area. This section helps identify water quality protection measures that a PWS can use as guidance for implementing action for a potential contaminant site in the assessment area. It focuses on protection measures that can reduce the risk of contamination to the water supply.

This portion of the report only displays water quality protection measures for each type of SIC found in the assessment area. It does not display protection measures for each site in the assessment area because every SIC should have the same or similar water quality protection management practices. However, the protection measures listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

# Protection Measures

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 185

## Recommended Water Quality Protection Measures

SIC	SIC Source	Contaminant Source	Water Quality Protection Measure	Regulatory Authority
No Protection Measures Listed				

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Assessment Analysis:**

The Assessment Analysis section displays the numbers assigned to each contaminant of concern category for each question in the susceptibility analysis.

This analysis is based on a decision tree framework consisting of a series of yes/no questions. These questions consider the proximity of contaminant sources to the water supply intake, the type of contaminant, and the application of pollution prevention or water quality protection practices to sources of contamination. As the evaluator moves through the analytical framework, susceptibility points are accumulated based on the presence of contaminant sources in the assessment area.

After all the questions have been answered, the SLS is calculated for each contaminant of concern category. The SLS is determined by counting the number of contamination risk factors found to occur in the delineated assessment area and applying a multiplier to this number. Because the number of contaminant category risk factors is not equal, the multiplier is used to establish a common scale for the SLS of each contaminant category.

# Assessment Analysis

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **185**

## Ground Water Multiple Wells Analysis

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds  
**B\*** – Nitrates            **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides        **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
1	Is any well under the influence of surface water?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Do all PWS wells meet KS PWS water well construction standards?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Is any well less than 30 feet deep?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Is gravel pack within 20 feet of any well surface?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	Does a PWS own or control all the areas around the wells?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Does Zone B consist entirely of native grass?	No	2	2	2	2	2	2
7	Is there a contaminated well in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Is a class V UIC well present?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban areas present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Are any non-farm home sites present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Do all the non-farm home sites have a water quality protection plan?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Are any farmsteads present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Do all farmsteads have a water quality protection plan?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Is there grazing livestock in Zone B?	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0
16	Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	No	1	0	1	0	0	0
17	Is there livestock confinement in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
18	Is each confined animal feeding operation registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	Is there corn or grain sorghum production in Zone B?	Yes	0	0	1	0	1	0
20	Are corn/grain sorghum nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	No	0	0	1	0	1	0
21	Are any orchards present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Are orchard nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Are there unsewered developments (concentrations of lagoons or septic systems) present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Is there a railroad or major highway in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Is there oil production in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Do coarse textured soils predominate Zones A, B and C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Is an irrigation well located in Zone B or C?	Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1
28	Is a wastewater treatment facility in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	Is a solid waste landfill in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Are there unplugged, abandoned water wells present in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban area present in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Is there livestock confinement in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Is each confined livestock facility registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Do all the livestock producers have water quality protection measures in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	No	0	0	1	0	0	0
37	Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	No	0	0	0	0	1	0
38	Does a perennial stream flow into Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Are watershed water quality protection plans in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Site Comments:**

The Site Comments section lists all the comments that were added for the potential sources of contamination found in the assessment area.

Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding detail to the sites that can be referenced for more information.

This local information may include comments on potential contamination threats (or lack there of), local water quality protection initiatives, etc. Adding comments are optional and are mainly focused on sources in areas that could have the greatest impact on water supply if a spill or release occurred in the environment. It is left to the discretion of the PWS and/or source water assessment committee to add comments.

# Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**

## Comments for Unregulated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Hazardous Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Identified Contaminated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Solid Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Waste Water Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

### **Added Site Comments:**

The Added Site Comments section lists the comments for why sites were added as a potential source of contamination found to the assessment area.

# Added Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**

## Comments for Added Contaminant Sites

Added Contaminant Site Name	Site No.	Site Comments	Author
Did Not Receive Any Comments			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**  
Diversion Id's: **009, 010, 011**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:02:56**

## **Analysis Question Comments:**

The Analysis Question Comments section lists all the comments that were added during analysis portion of the assessment, in which a series of yes/no questions were asked.

Evaluators have the option to add comments to questions to clarify why a response was given or to give more details to a question. Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding clarification and details that could not be identified with a simple yes or no response.

# Analysis Question Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **185**

## Comments for Analysis Questions

<b>Analysis Question</b>	<b>Question Comments</b>	<b>Author</b>
Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are corn/grain sorghum nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Executive Summary:**

The Executive Summary gives the assessment area's Susceptibility Likelihood Score (SLS) for each contaminant of concern category.

SLS indicates which contaminant category is most likely to impact a given public water supply. Contaminants of concern for groundwater include microbiological, inorganic compounds, nitrates, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds. Contaminants of concern for surface water include microbiological, inorganic compounds, eutrophication – phosphorus, sedimentation, synthetic organic compounds, pesticides, and volatile organic compounds.

To determine the assessment area's susceptibility to contamination, a qualitative (semi-quantitative) screening level susceptibility analysis was designed that utilizes general assumptions and best professional judgement. It is a systematic procedure comprised of simple yes/no questions. Each question in the susceptibility analysis focuses on the presence or absence of potential pollution sources in the assessment area. SLS is most useful in helping the Public Water Supply (PWS) focus on water quality protection actions towards a contaminant category of concern. For example, if the SLS for microbiological contamination is high, relative to volatile organic compounds (VOC), water supply protection planners would conclude that the attention should be directed towards microbiological contaminant sources rather than VOC sources.

# Executive Summary

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **186**

## Susceptibility Likelihood Scores for Assessment Area

Contaminant Category	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
Susceptibility Likelihood Score – SLS	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>42</b>
SLS Range	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

A – Microbiological

B\* – Nitrates

C\* – Pesticides

B – Inorganic Compounds

C – Synthetic Organic Compounds

D – Volatile Organic Compounds

## Susceptibility Likelihood Range

SLS Range	
<b>0–50</b>	<b>Low Susceptibility</b>
<b>51–80</b>	<b>Moderate Susceptibility</b>
<b>81–100</b>	<b>High Susceptibility</b>

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## Potential Sources:

The Potential Sources section lists all the sites that have been identified as potential sources of contamination.

Potential sources of contamination may include land uses, industry, or businesses that could generate or store chemicals/substances that could potentially contaminate the water supply only if released into the environment. Both unregulated sites from business location databases and regulated sites from various KDHE databases were compiled. Additional sites could have been added by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The 1987 Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) were used to identify potential contaminate sites. The SIC system classifies establishments into industries on the basis of the primary activities of the establishment.

Each assessment area is delineated with 3 assessment zones. These zones can be used to get a general understanding of the potential influence sites have based on proximity to the water supply. Zone A is a 100-foot radius around a groundwater well and a 1000-foot radius around a surface water intake. Zone B is a 2000-foot radius around wells and a hydrological delineated buffer around the surface water sources. Zone C is a 2-mile radius around wells and the balance of the watershed for intakes. The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show all the potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business is identified in the study as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

The data for the potential sources of contamination was compiled from May through August in 2002. Some of the databases used were incomplete datasets that are continually being updated. Due to the incompleteness, inaccuracies, and new development, it is possible that sources of potential contamination that are in the assessment area are not included in the report. Inaccurate locations could also cause sources to show up in the assessment area that are not actually in the assessment. Additionally, duplication between the datasets could cause sites to show up multiple times in the assessment area.

# Potential Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**

## Unregulated Potential Site Sources

Source No.	SIC Description	SIC ID	Zone
213024	Cattle Farm	211	C
212872	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	4221	C

## Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources
---

## Regulated Hazardous Waste Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources
---

## Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	ID/Permit No.	Zone
3000201	St Francis Tire	02318	C

## Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	ID/Permit No.	Zone
3000469	Usd 297, Bus Barn	05870	C
3000827	Coastal Mart #2530	08645	C
3000877	Farmers Equipment	08985	C
3001131	Gas 4 Less	17391	C
3001250	Wilson Service	22953	C
3001631	Grace Flying Service	26208	C
3002508	Knorr Repair	80239	C

## Regulated Identified Contaminated Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Solid Waste Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

## Regulated Waste Water Potential Site Sources

Did Not Contain Any Of These Potential Site Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

### **Added Sources:**

The Added Sources section lists all the sites that have been added as potential sources of contamination by an evaluator through the assessment process to supplement the original data.

The potential sources listed in this section are sorted to show the added potential sources in Zone A first, Zone B second, and Zone C third.

**Although a facility or business was added as a potential concern, it does not necessarily mean a release or spill has occurred. Contamination could only occur if certain chemical substances are released into the environment and filter into the water supply source.**

# Added Sources

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**

## Added Potential Site Sources

Source No.	Source Name	SIC ID	Zone
Did Not Add Any Site Sources			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Potential Contaminants Summary:**

The Contaminants Summary shows the number of identified unregulated sources in the assessment area for each contaminant of concern category.

In order to obtain the number of sources for each category, a relationship was correlated between each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories. Each SIC was assessed and associated with contaminant categories. For example, if not managed properly, a car wash (SIC 7542) could potentially contaminate an intake because of inorganic compounds (IOC) and volatile organic compounds (VOC); thus, a car wash is associated with IOCs and VOCs.

A chart displays a count for each contaminant category. The sum for each category represents the total number of identified sources that have been associated with that particular contaminant category. However, the total number of identified sources does not include contaminants from the Added Sources. In our example, a car wash would be considered 2 sources of contamination. It would be a potential source of contamination for IOCs and for VOCs; thus, 1 would be added to the total number of sources in the VOC category and 1 would be added to the IOC category.

# Potential Contaminants Summary

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 186

## Number of Unregulated Site Sources Identified for each Contaminant Category

MicroBiological	Pesticides	IOC's	SOC's	VOC's	Nitrates
1	1	2	0	1	1

A – Microbiological

B\* – Nitrates

C\* – Pesticides

B – Inorganic Compounds

C – Synthetic Organic Compounds

D – Volatile Organic Compounds

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## Potential Contaminants Listing:

The Potential Contaminants section lists the contaminant of concern category associated with each Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) found in an assessment area. A complete list of contaminant category codes are located at the bottom of this page.

The relationships defined between the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) and the contaminant of concern categories are displayed in a table format. Using our car wash example, the relationships can be better illustrated. A car wash could release IOC and VOC chemical substances. The connection is shown by indicating the SIC, 7542, and the associated contaminant categories, IOC (Category B) and VOC (Category D). However, the contaminants listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

The list is sorted by the SIC source description and it only shows unique SIC sources. For example, an assessment area can have 20 car washes in an assessment area, but the list is only going to show contaminant categories associated with car washes onetime. This is because all car washes have the same SIC and every car wash poses the same potential threat to water intakes.

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds                      **B1** – Eutrophication – Phosphorous  
**B2** – Sedimentation    **B\*** – Nitrates    **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides            **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

# Potential Contaminants Listing

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
 Assessment Area: 186

## Unregulated Identified Site Sources and associated Potential Contaminant Category

SIC ID	SIC Source	Potential Contaminant	Contaminant Category
211	Cattle Farm	Sanitary, Fertilizers TSS, pesticides, Erosion and sedimentation	A
"	"	"	B
"	"	"	B1
"	"	"	B2
"	"	"	B*
"	"	"	C*
4221	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	TSS, VOCs	B
"	"	"	D

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Protection Measures:**

The Protection Measures section shows water quality protection measures for the Standard Industrial Classifications (SIC) identified in the assessment area.

Previous sections of this report are designed to show areas that Public Water Supplies (PWS) can focus on to improve the susceptibility of an assessment area. This section helps identify water quality protection measures that a PWS can use as guidance for implementing action for a potential contaminant site in the assessment area. It focuses on protection measures that can reduce the risk of contamination to the water supply.

This portion of the report only displays water quality protection measures for each type of SIC found in the assessment area. It does not display protection measures for each site in the assessment area because every SIC should have the same or similar water quality protection management practices. However, the protection measures listed are not associated with any Added Sources.

# Protection Measures

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
 Assessment Area: 186

## Recommended Water Quality Protection Measures

SIC	SIC Source	Contaminant Source	Water Quality Protection Measure	Regulatory Authority
211	Cattle Farm	Sanitary, Fertilizers TSS, pesticides, Erosion and sedimentation	Proper application of fertilizers and pesticides. Proper cleaning of equipment and disposal of chemicals. Maintain riparian areas along waterways and keep cattle out of these areas. Proper Waste Management and Grazing Management.	KDHE– Livestock Waste Management Section, KAR 28–16, KDA, County Soil Conservation District, NRCS
4221	Farm Product Warehousing and Storage	TSS, VOCs	Keep the area clean of grain. Use grease traps.	State or federal Storm water pollution prevention regulations

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Assessment Analysis:**

The Assessment Analysis section displays the numbers assigned to each contaminant of concern category for each question in the susceptibility analysis.

This analysis is based on a decision tree framework consisting of a series of yes/no questions. These questions consider the proximity of contaminant sources to the water supply intake, the type of contaminant, and the application of pollution prevention or water quality protection practices to sources of contamination. As the evaluator moves through the analytical framework, susceptibility points are accumulated based on the presence of contaminant sources in the assessment area.

After all the questions have been answered, the SLS is calculated for each contaminant of concern category. The SLS is determined by counting the number of contamination risk factors found to occur in the delineated assessment area and applying a multiplier to this number. Because the number of contaminant category risk factors is not equal, the multiplier is used to establish a common scale for the SLS of each contaminant category.

# Assessment Analysis

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
 Assessment Area: **186**

## Ground Water Multiple Wells Analysis

**A** – Microbiological    **B** – Inorganic Compounds  
**B\*** – Nitrates            **C** – Synthetic Organic Compounds  
**C\*** – Pesticides        **D** – Volatile Organic Compounds

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
1	Is any well under the influence of surface water?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Do all PWS wells meet KS PWS water well construction standards?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Is any well less than 30 feet deep?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Is gravel pack within 20 feet of any well surface?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	Does a PWS own or control all the areas around the wells?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Does Zone B consist entirely of native grass?	No	2	2	2	2	2	2
7	Is there a contaminated well in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Is a class V UIC well present?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban areas present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Are any non-farm home sites present in Zone B?	Yes	1	0	1	0	1	0
12	Do all the non-farm home sites have a water quality protection plan?	No	1	0	1	0	1	0
13	Are any farmsteads present in Zone B?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	Do all farmsteads have a water quality protection plan?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1
15	Is there grazing livestock in Zone B?	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	0
16	Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	No	1	0	1	0	0	0
17	Is there livestock confinement in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0

No.	Question	Response	A	B	B*	C	C*	D
18	Is each confined animal feeding operation registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	Is there corn or grain sorghum production in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	Are corn/grain sorghum nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Are any orchards present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Are orchard nutrient and pesticide management plans in use for each site?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Are there unsewered developments (concentrations of lagoons or septic systems) present in Zone B?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Is there a railroad or major highway in Zone B or C?	Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1
25	Is there oil production in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Do coarse textured soils predominate Zones A, B and C?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
27	Is an irrigation well located in Zone B or C?	Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1
28	Is a wastewater treatment facility in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	Is a solid waste landfill in Zone B or C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	Are there unplugged, abandoned water wells present in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	Are any commercial, industrial, or urban area present in Zone C?	Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
32	Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	No	1	1	1	1	1	1
33	Is there livestock confinement in Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Is each confined livestock facility registered with KDHE?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Do all the livestock producers have water quality protection measures in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	No	0	0	1	0	0	0
37	Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	No	0	0	0	0	1	0
38	Does a perennial stream flow into Zone C?	No	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Are watershed water quality protection plans in place?	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Site Comments:**

The Site Comments section lists all the comments that were added for the potential sources of contamination found in the assessment area.

Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding detail to the sites that can be referenced for more information.

This local information may include comments on potential contamination threats (or lack there of), local water quality protection initiatives, etc. Adding comments are optional and are mainly focused on sources in areas that could have the greatest impact on water supply if a spill or release occurred in the environment. It is left to the discretion of the PWS and/or source water assessment committee to add comments.

# Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**

## Comments for Unregulated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Confined Animal Feeding Operations Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Hazardous Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Leaking Storage Tank Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Identified Contaminated Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Solid Waste Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments

## Comments for Regulated Waste Water Sites

Did Not Receive Any Comments
------------------------------

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

### **Added Site Comments:**

The Added Site Comments section lists the comments for why sites were added as a potential source of contamination found to the assessment area.

# Added Site Comments

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**

## Comments for Added Contaminant Sites

Added Contaminant Site Name	Site No.	Site Comments	Author
Did Not Receive Any Comments			

Public Water Supply: **ST FRANCIS, CITY OF**  
Assessment Area: **186**  
Diversion Id's: **008, 007, 006**  
Status: **Accepted**  
Submit Date: **2003-01-31 11:05:26**

## **Analysis Question Comments:**

The Analysis Question Comments section lists all the comments that were added during analysis portion of the assessment, in which a series of yes/no questions were asked.

Evaluators have the option to add comments to questions to clarify why a response was given or to give more details to a question. Local comments and feedback from people that are familiar with the assessment area is an important aspect of the assessment. The comments greatly improve the assessment by adding clarification and details that could not be identified with a simple yes or no response.

# Analysis Question Comments

Public Water Supply: ST FRANCIS, CITY OF  
Assessment Area: 186

## Comments for Analysis Questions

Analysis Question	Question Comments	Author
Do all the non-farm home sites have a water quality protection plan?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Do all farmsteads have a water quality protection plan?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Have all livestock producers implemented water quality protection measures?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Does each industrial/commercial site and urban area have a water quality protection plan in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland nutrient management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger
Are cropland pesticide management plans in place?	unknown	J.R. Landenberger