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APPENDIX D

2018 Visibility Projections for CENRAP Class I Areas Using 2002 Typical and 2018 Base Case Base G Emission Scenario CMAQ Results and EPA Default Projection Method and Comparison with 2018 Uniform Rate of Progress (URP) Glidepaths

Figure D-1: Caney Creek Wilderness Area (CACR), Arkansas
Figure D-2: Upper Buffalo Wilderness Area (UPBU), Arkansas
Figure D-3: Breton Island Wilderness Area (BRET), Louisiana
Figure D-4: Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness Area (BOWA), Minnesota
Figure D-5: Voyageurs National Park (VOYA), Minnesota
Figure D-6: Hercules Glade Wilderness Area (HEGL), Missouri
Figure D-7: Mingo Wilderness Area (MING), Missouri
Figure D-8: Wichita Mountains Wilderness Area (WIMO), Oklahoma
Figure D-9: Big Bend National Park (BIBE), Texas
Figure D-10: Guadalupe Mountains National Park (GUMO), Texas
Figure D-1a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Caney Creek (CACR), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-1b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Caney Creek (CACR), Arkansas and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-1c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Caney Creek (CACR), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Bext Response (base18g - typ02g) at CACR1 on Worst 20% Days

Figure D-1d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Caney Creek (CACR), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Uniform Rate of Reasonable Progress Glide Path
Upper Buffalo Wilderness - 20% Data Days

Figure D-2a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Upper Buffalo (UPBU), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Worst 20% Obs (left) vs Typ02g (right) at UPBU1

Figure D-2c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Upper Buffalo (UPBU), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Bext Response (base18g - typ02g) at UPBU1 on Worst 20% Days

Figure D-2d. Differences in modeled  2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Upper Buffalo (UPBU), Arkansas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Figure D-3a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Breton Island (BRET), Louisiana and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-3b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Breton Island (BRET), Louisiana and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-3c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Breton Island (BRET), Louisiana and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Figure D-3d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Breton Island (BRET), Louisiana and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
**Figure D-4a.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Boundary Waters (BOWA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

**Figure D-4b.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Boundary Waters (BOWA), Minnesota and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Worst 20% Obs (left) vs Typ02g (right) at BOWA1

Figure D-4c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Boundary Waters (BOWA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Bext Response (base18g - typ02g) at BOWA1 on Worst 20% Days

Figure D-4d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Boundary Waters (BOWA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
**Figure D-5a.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Voyageurs (VOYA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

**Figure D-5b.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Voyageurs (VOYA), Minnesota and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-5c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Voyageurs (VOYA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Figure D-5d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Voyageurs (VOYA), Minnesota and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Figure D-6a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Hercules-Glade (HEGL), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-6b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Hercules-Glade (HEGL), Missouri and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
**Figure D-6c.** Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Hercules-Glade (HEGL), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

**Figure D-6d.** Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Hercules-Glade (HEGL), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Figure D-7a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Mingo (MING), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-7b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Mingo (MING), Missouri and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-7c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Mingo (MING), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Figure D-7d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Mingo (MING), Missouri and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Figure D-8a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Wichita Mountains (WIMO), Oklahoma and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-8b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Wichita Mountains (WIMO), Oklahoma and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-8c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Wichita Mountains (WIMO), Oklahoma and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Figure D-8d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Wichita Mountains (WIMO), Oklahoma and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
Figure D-9a. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Big Bend (BIBE), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

Figure D-9b. 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Big Bend (BIBE), Texas and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
Figure D-9c. Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Big Bend (BIBE), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

Figure D-9d. Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Big Bend (BIBE), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.
**Figure D-10a.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Guadalupe Mountains (GUMO), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.

**Figure D-10b.** 2018 Visibility Projections and 2018 URP Glidepaths in deciview for Guadalupe Mountains (GUMO), Texas and Best 20% (B20%) days using 2002/2018 Base G CMAQ 36 km modeling results.
**Figure D-10c.** Comparison of observed (left) and 2002 Base G modeled (right) daily extinction for Guadalupe Mountains (GUMO), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.

**Figure D-10d.** Differences in modeled 2002 and 2018 Base G CMAQ results (2018-2002) daily extinction for Guadalupe Mountains (GUMO), Texas and Worst 20% (W20%) days in 2002.